

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradomark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.G. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,393	02/20/2002	Larry E. Morrison	01886-071001/ V0079	1580	
26161	7590 02/13/2003				
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			EXAMINER		
225 FRANKLI BOSTON, MA			CHAKRABAF	CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634		
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/081,393

Morrison

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit 1634

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply					
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
- If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the platent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) Note that the heap lication to become	MONTHS fi	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 20, 2002</u> .					
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ✓ This act	tion is FINAL. 2b) X This action is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-20</u>	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	tion Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)	2) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗌	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗆	a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17	'.2(a)).	· ·		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachme	• •					
	ice of Profesoropa's Patent Pressing Review (PTO 040)	_	I) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
Total Application (170-132)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: Detailed Action						

Application/Control Number: 10/081,393 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, drawn to nucleic acid, classified in class 536, subclass 22.1.
 - II. Claims 8-20, drawn to method of nucleic acid hybridization, classified in class 435,subclass 6.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Groups I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the nucleic acid of Group I can be used in the method of nucleic acid hybridization of Group II or to make RNA and protein or can be used to make antisense nucleic acid for gene therapy.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,393 Page 3

Art Unit: 1634

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Sixteen different sets of structurally and functionally and therefore patentably different probes are claimed.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single pair of disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-19 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CAR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,393 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

5. A telephone call was made to Jack Brennan on February 4,2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CAR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CAR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CAR 1.17(I).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-4:30 PM from Monday to Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7401. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group analyst Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Art Unit: 1634

Arun Chakrabarti,

Patent Examiner,

February 4, 2003

ARUNK. CHAKRABARTI
PATENT EXAMINER